Mr. Garfield Daley FOIA Officer Department of Health and Human Services 330 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, DC 20201



Page | 1

January 19, 2014

Dear Mr. Daley:

We are a small business that is being forced out of business in the face of direct competition by the U.S. Government. The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) are plagiarizing our research, pirating our patented ideas and stealing our trade secrets. Using this information the FDA has duplicated our products and is giving them away for free to industry. As a direct result, the bottom has dropped out of our sales and we are being forced out of business.

Attached please find a series of questions that we would like to have answered by officials of the Food and Drug Administration and the Department of Health and Human Services pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act. What follows are a series of simple "yes" and "no" questions that include requests for the specific documents we seek.

We plan to publish the information provided by the Government in response to this Freedom of Information Act as a public service. We request the free and expedited processing of this request based on the pressing need for the public to know of the potential fraud, waste and abuse taking place in the Department of Health and Human Services and the Food and Drug Administration.

In response to past Freedom of Information Act requests, we have experienced a disturbing pattern of intentionally incomplete and otherwise deceitful responses to our requests for information. We have also been confronted with improper determinations to withhold pertinent information because of government claims that the actions taken against us were part of a government "deliberative process" or that the wrongdoing committed by government employees is now covered under "lawyer-client" privilege.

Intentional violations of the Freedom of Information Act and the withholding of information under false pretenses are most egregious and may rise to the level of obstruction of justice in the matter of FoodQuestTQ versus the Food and Drug Administration. We have made the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services aware of our concerns and are providing him with a copy of this request.

Sincerely,

John H. Hnatio, Ed, PhD Chief Science Officer

cc:

Dan Levinson, IG-HHS

Questions for the Department of Health and Human Services and the Food and Drug Administration

A series of questions regarding:

A. Whether the HHS OGC "investigation" of FoodQuestTQ's concerns is/was lawful, complete, and impartial.

- 1. Questions relating to the April 26, 2013 HHS OGC legal brief sent to FoodQuestTQ regarding the HHS OGC "investigation" of FoodQuestTQ's concerns.
- 2. Whether FoodQuestTQ failed to cooperate with HHS/FDA.
- 3. Whether FoodQuestTQ attempted to provide HHS/FDA with information needed to conduct a complete investigation.
- 4. Whether FDA allegations that FoodQuestTQ was unreasonable in their request to protect the company's intellectual property.
- B. Whether the FDA including any contractors or subcontractors have infringed on the FoodQuestTQ patent, used FoodQuestTQ intellectual property and or plagiarized the copyrighted research of Dr. Hnatio, the Chief Science Officer of FoodQuestTQ.
 - 1. Whether the FDA plagiarized Dr. Hnatio's 2006 doctoral dissertation.
 - 2. Whether the FDA Food Protection Plan is substantially based on Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation, his patent and/or FoodQuestTQ owned intellectual property.
 - 3. Whether the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder is substantially based on Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation, his patent and/or FoodQuestTQ owned intellectual property.
 - 4. Whether the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database is substantially based on Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation, his patent and/or FoodQuestTQ owned intellectual property.
 - 5. Whether the FDA iRisk computer software tool is substantially based on Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation, his patent and/or FoodQuestTQ owned intellectual property.
 - 6. Whether the FDA FREE-B tool is substantially based on Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation, his patent and/or FoodQuestTQ owned intellectual property.
- C. Whether the FDA has retaliated against FoodQuestTQ for resisting the government's actions in this matter.

 Whether the FDA action to preclude FoodQuestTQ from participating in an industry workshop to demonstrate their competing commercial product was motivated by FDA's desire to avoid commercial competition.

- 2. Whether the FDA action to preclude FoodQuestTQ from participating in an industry workshop was in retaliation for the publication of a FoodQuestTQ article laying out alleged weaknesses in FDA's approach to vulnerability assessment and food defense.
- 3. Whether the FDA publicly endorsed the commercial products of Tyco Integrated Systems.
- 4. What the involvement of Leavitt Partners (now the Acheson Group) was in the matter of FoodQuestTQ.
- 5. Whether the FDA "blacklisted" and/or FDA employees engaged in any forms of defamation involving FoodQuestTQ.
- D. The role played by the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) in the FoodQuestTQ matter.
- E. The role played by the HHS/FDA Offices of Small Business and Disadvantaged Utilization in the FoodQuestTQ matter.
- F. The role played by the National Ombudsman for Small Business of the Small Business Administration in the FoodQuestTQ matter.
- G. Whether the FDA has directly competed with FoodQuestTQ.

Specific Questions for HHS/FDA

	The purpose of these questions is to demonstrate based on the extensive record of documentation in this case whether the HHS OGC "investigation" of FoodQuestTQ's concerns was a lawful activity, legally conducted, complete, fair and accurate.	
	We have carefully reviewed the April 26, 2013, letter from the Office of General Counsel (OGC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to FoodQuestTQ, including all exhibits. Please answer the following questions.	Page 4
Q-1	Please have Mr. Dale Berkley, OGC-HHS, answer the following question for the record. When Mr. Berkley conducted his "investigation" of the FoodQuestTQ matter did he do so in the capacity as a legal defense counsel for the FDA and the FDA employees alleged to have engaged in the wrongdoing?	
	☐ Yes	
	□ No	
Q-2	Please have Mr. Dale Berkley, OGC-HHS, answer the following question for the record. When Mr. Berkley conducted his "investigation" of the FoodQuestTQ matter and learned of the serious allegations including possible criminal violations of Title 18 USC, did he immediately recuse himself and refer the matter to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office of Inspector General for proper investigation as required by law?	
	Yes	
	□ No	
Q-3	Please have Mr. Dale Berkley, OGC-HHS, answer the following question for the record. Did Mr. Berkley, as the result of his "investigation" of the FoodQuestTQ matter, subsequently extend FDA lawyer client privilege to those employees alleged to have participated in the wrongdoing in the first place?	
	Yes	
	□ No	

Q-4	Doe atto	ese have Mr. Dale Berkley, OGC-HHS, answer the following question for the record. es Mr. Berkley fully understand his ethical obligations and duties as a practicing orney and Member of the Bar to avoid potential (real or perceived) conflicts of erest that may befoul legitimate law enforcement investigations of criminal activity?	
		Yes	Page 5
		No	
Q-5	Did obli	ase have Mr. Dale Berkley, OGC-HHS, answer the following question for the record. Mr. Berkley understand as a practicing attorney and Member of the Bar his igations not to engage in the obstruction of justice in his "investigation" of the dQuestTQ matter?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-6	Did the	ase have Ms. Ariel Seeley, FDA counsel, answer the following question for the record. Ms. Seeley, indicate to FoodQuestTQ that the information FoodQuestTQ provided to Office of Chief Counsel of the FDA about their patent was sufficient to make a ermination in the matter?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-7	Did hist and	ase have Ms. Ariel Seeley, FDA counsel, answer the following question for the record. Ms. Seeley decline FoodQuestTQ's offer to provide additional information about the cory of the FoodQuestTQ patent, how it was reduced to practice in a suite of tools, the specific trade secrets that FoodQuestTQ alleges are now being used by the FDA hout permission?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-8	Did Offi and	Ms. Ariel Seeley, FDA counsel, answer the following question for the record. Ms. Seeley, as FDA staff counsel, ever indicate to FoodQuestTQ that both the FDA ce of Chief Counsel and the office of General Counsel of the Department of Health Human Services (OGC-HHS) were acting as attorneys representing the best interests IHS/FDA in the matter and not those of FoodQuestTQ?	Dago I 6
		Yes	Page 6
		No	
Q-9	Uno the	the answer to Question 2, above is "Yes" then please answer the following question. Her such circumstances, was it at all unreasonable for FoodQuestTQ to conclude that matter was being handled by the FDA Office of Chief Counsel and the OGC-HHS as an ersary legal dispute?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-10		FoodQuestTQ give members of the FDA Food Defense Team a guided on-line tour of National Food Protection Collaboratory TM web site?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-11	rec	se have Ms. Ariel Seeley, staff counsel FDA, answer the following question for the ord. Did FoodQuestTQ specifically invite Ms. Seeley and the FDA Office of Chief nsel to visit their web-site known as the National Food Protection Collaboratory ?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-12	Foo	anyone from the FDA Office of Chief Counsel or the OGC-HHS ever visit the National d Protection Collaboratory TM web site to review the non-exclusive descriptions of the kings of the FoodQuestTQ tools that are publicly available there?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-13	reco	ase have Ms. Ariel Seeley, staff counsel FDA, answer the following question for the ord. Did FoodQuestTQ offer to provide the Office of Chief Counsel FDA with an lanation of the "nuts and bolts" of their technology and "to set up a demonstration the folks in your office so that we can walk you through our Food Defense Architect, and DefenseTQ, FEAST and FREE tools"?	
			Page 7
	Ц	Yes	
		No	
Q-14	did oth	spective of the HHS OGC's focus on patent infringement in the FoodQuestTQ matter, the OGC-HHS "investigation" of the FoodQuestTQ matter specifically include the er intellectual property that FoodQuestTQ alleges is currently being used by HHS/FDA hout permission?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-15	Foo	ase attach the letter, e-mail or other correspondence from FoodQuestTQ in which dQuestTQ refused to provide non-exclusive copies of the works they allege the HHS FDA have used without their permission.	
Q-16	50%	customary for legal firms or attorneys to take up to 25% and sometimes more than 6 of the proceeds of settlements reached in intellectual property cases when clients not afford to pay for their legal expenses "up-front"?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-17	infr	unusual for lawsuits being heard before the Federal District Court of Claims in patent ingement cases to take many years to resolve because of filled court dockets and er reasons?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-18	Foo	the FDA Food Protection Plan, the Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database, d Defense Plan Builder, iRisk and FREE-B tools copyrighted by the government or erwise considered the intellectual property of the Food and Drug Administration?	
		Yes	Page 8
		No	rage o
Q-19	Foo	the FDA conduct due diligence, e.g., a literature searches, prior to publishing the d Protection Plan, the Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database, Food Defense Builder, iRisk and FREE-B tools	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-20	the	ne FDA willing to make all documentation, source code and descriptive materials for FDA Food Protection Plan, the Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database, Food ense Plan Builder, iRisk and FREE-B tools available to the public upon request?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-21	OGO	ase attach a list of the statutes, laws, and HHS/FDA policies that were used by the HHS C to justify denying FoodQuestTQ access to the workings of the Food Defense gation Strategies Database, Food Defense Plan Builder, iRisk and FREE-B tools?	
Q-22		the OGC-HHS conduct due diligence in examining the history of the intellectual perty that FoodQuestTQ alleges is being used by the FDA without permission?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-23	pate with	ase provide a dated copy of the FDA's due diligence analysis of the history of both the ent and the other intellectual property FoodQuestTQ alleges is being used by the FDA nout permission. In the event that no record of such an analysis exists please so ify below.	
		There is no record of any analysis of the history of both the patent and the other intellectual property FoodQuestTQ alleges is being used by the FDA without permission.	

Q-24		the ideas in the FoodQuestTQ patent and other intellectual property briefed to the Food Defense Team pre-date 2007?	
		Yes	
		No	Page 9
Q-25	imp	n the FDA began building Food Defense Plan Builder, did the FDA consider the lications this action would have on small businesses in general and FoodQuestTQ in ticular?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-26		s the FDA Office of Procurement and Grant Services consulted before the FDA Food ense Team began to build Food Defense Plan Builder?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-27		the FDA Office of Procurement and Grant Services (OAGS) concur on the decision to d the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder tool?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-28	If so	o, please attach a copy of the signed or initialed document for the review.	
Q-29	infr	the FDA conduct the due diligence necessary to assure that the Agency was not inging on existing patents or other privately held intellectual property including yrights before they began to build Food Defense Plan Builder?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-30	Def	ese attach a copy of the due diligence analysis conducted by the FDA for the FDA Food ense Plan Builder software tool. If no record of a due diligence analysis exists please ignify below.	
		No written analysis was conducted.	

Q-31	exis the	the FDA conduct due diligence to assure that the Agency was not infringing on any ting patents or other privately held intellectual property including copyrights before y began the production of the FDA Food Protection Plan, the Food Defense Mitigation tegies Database, iRisk and FREE-B tools?	
		Yes	Page 10
		No	
Q-27	FDA	se attach a copy of the due diligence analysis conducted by the FDA or HHS for the Food Protection Plan, the Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database, iRisk and E-B tools. If no record of such an analysis exists please so signify below.	
		No FDA or HHS record that such an analysis was conducted exists.	
Q-28	Foo	se have Mr. Jody Menikheim answer the following question for the record. Did dQuestTQ ever express their concerns to Mr. Menikheim that the FDA Food Defense oner tool was duplicating their Food DefenseTQ and Food Defense Architect tool?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-29	Mei Hna staf	ase have Mr. Jody Menikheim answer the following question for the record. Did Mr. nikheim and other members of the FDA Food Defense Team meet with Dr. John tio and other FoodQuestTQ personnel where Mr. Menikheim and members of his f were given a comprehensive briefing and demonstration of the FoodQuestTQ LLC ware tools?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-30	Mei Dr and	ase have Mr. Jody Menikheim answer the following question for the record. Did Mr. nikheim and other members of the FDA Food Defense Team subsequently meet with John Hnatio, Dr. Barton Michelson, Mr. William Wright and Mr. David Park where he members of his staff were given a comprehensive briefing and demonstration of the dQuestTQ LLC software tools?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-31	1 Please have Mr. Jody Menikheim answer the following question for the record. Did FoodQuestTQ express their concern to Mr. Menikheim that the FDA's work Battelle Memorial Institute to develop the Food Defense Plan Builder was resulting in direct competition with FoodQuestTQ's existing Food DefenseTQ and Food Defense Architect products before FDA published Food Defense Plan Builder at their official government		
	web	site?	Page 11
		Yes	
		No	
Q-32	Mei of F	ase have Mr. Jody Menikheim answer the following question for the record. Did Mr. nikheim have a telephone conversation with Dr. John Hnatio, the Chief Science Officer oodQuestTQ and others, in which Dr. Hnatio specifically expressed the company's cerns about the FDA competing directly with FoodQuestTQ?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-33	the tele Foo	ase have Mr. Jody Menikheim answer the following question for the record. Prior to December 12, 2012, FDA workshop at GMA Headquarters, did Mr. Menikheim have a phone conversation in which Dr. John Hnatio, the Chief Science Officer of dQuestTQ, asked him specifically about the nature and purpose of the upcoming FDA nsored workshop and FDA's new food defense plan builder tool.	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-34	the FDA	ase have Mr. Jody Menikheim answer the following question for the record. During alleged conversation with Dr. Hnatio referred to above, did Dr. Menikheim discuss as Food Defense Plan Builder tool as providing an easier method to understand R.V.E.R. plus Shock?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-35	Foo	dQuestTQ offer Mr. Menikheim answer the following question for the record. Did dQuestTQ offer Mr. Menikheim and the FDA a \$1 a year license for FDA employees to the company's patent, trade secrets and other intellectual property?	
		Yes	Page 12
		No	. 486 12
Q-36	con	ase have Mr. Jody Menikheim answer the following question for the record. Did he fer with any other FDA official about the FoodQuestTQ offer of a \$1 a year license to FDA to use FoodQuestTQ's intellectual property?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-37		o, please attach a list of the names with contact information for each of the viduals Mr. Menikheim conferred with about the FoodQuestTQ offer of a \$1 per year use.	
Q-38	con	ase have Mr. Jody Menikheim answer the following question for the record. Did he fer with any other FDA official about the concerns expressed to him by FoodQuestTQ the FDA was competing with the small business?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-39	Mei	o, please provide the names and contact information for each of the individuals Mr. nikheim conferred with about the FoodQuestTQ's concerns that the FDA was unfairly npeting with their company.	
Q-40	Asso wor Def	ase have Mr. Jody Menikheim answer the following question for the record. At the Mr. Menikheim contacted Mr. Warren Stone of the Grocery Manufacturer's ociation and excluded FoodQuestTQ from participating in the FDA sponsored kshop, was Mr. Menikheim aware of FoodQuestTQ's concerns that FDA's Food ense Plan Builder and FoodQuestTQ's Food Defense Architect were both designed to the food industry build food defense plans?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-41	Is th	ne FDA familiar with the term "patent poaching?"	
		Yes	
		No	Da 142
Q-42		the HHS and FDA support President Obama's initiatives to stop the exploitation of Ill businesses through the use of unfair intellectual property practices?	Page 13
		Yes	
		No	
Q-43		es the FDA have a policy that precludes the Agency from engaging in "patent ching" against small businesses? If so, please attach a copy of the Agency's policy.	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-44		FoodQuestTQ write numerous letters to senior officials in both HHS and FDA asking neet with senior officials to resolve this matter?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-45		anyone from the FDA or HHS ever meet with FoodQuestTQ in response to the pany's numerous letters?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-46	FDA	OGC HHS refers to other letters written by FoodQuestTQ to various sources in the and other government agencies. Please attach all "cc" copies of these letters gived by either the FDA or HHS for review	

Q-47	"FREE" only to be followed shortly thereafter by an FDA tool with the very similar name "FREE-B"?	
	Yes	Page 14
	□ No	ruge 14
Q-48	Please provide the precise date that the name "FREE-B" was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA FREE-B tool. Please insert the date below.	
	// 20	
Q-49	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review. If no record exists when the term "FREE-B" was first reduced to written form please so signify below.	
	■ No record exists.	
ur nu in	We are trying to demonstrate that HHS OGC allegations that FoodQuestTQ was incooperative in trying to resolve this matter are untrue. We are in the possession of umerous letters requesting to meet with HHS and FDA officials over a period of six months which FoodQuestTQ repeatedly asked to sit down and resolve the matter. To assist us, lease answer the following questions.	
Q-1	Did FoodQuestTQ offer to sit down with FDA and HHS officials to resolve the matter?	
	Yes	
	□ No	
Q-2	Please insert below the total number of times FoodQuestTQ wrote a letter to HHS-FDA requesting a meeting to sit down and resolve the matter with HHS-FDA over the sixmonth period of December to the current time, below.	
	FoodQuestTQ wrote to the FDA and HHS times over the period December 2012 to June 2013 asking for a meeting.	
Q-3	Please provide copies of the HHS and FDA responses to <u>each</u> of FoodQuestTQ's letters above requesting a meeting to resolve the matter. If you did not respond to FoodQuestTQ's requests to meet with them to resolve the matter please so signify below.	
	HHS and FDA never responded to FoodQuestTQ's letters about the company's desire to hold a meeting.	

Q-4		se attach a copy of both FDA's and HHS's Agency policies as they relate to answering espondence from the public.	
H co d m	IHS OG onduc ocum	h to demonstrate based on the extensive record of documentation in this matter that GC allegations that FoodQuestTQ refused to provide the information needed to t a complete investigation are untrue. The record is replete with numerous ents showing that FoodQuestTQ offered to share critical information relating to this with the Office of General Counsel HHS. Among these documents include offers to	Page 15
		pecific examples of the intellectual property allegedly stolen by the Food and Drug Administration, and;	
	-	detailed technical "crosswalk" demonstrating the alleged FDA infringement on their patent.	
То а	ssist u	s, please answer the following questions.	
Q-1		ng the course of FDA's and HHS's review of this matter did HHS or FDA ever take IQuestTQ up on their offer to provide these documents?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-2	resp	please attach a copy of the letter, e-mail or other correspondence in which HHS/FDA onded to the offer by FoodQuestTQ. If the FDA Office of Chief Counsel FDA and the OGC never responded to FoodQuestTQ's offer please so signify below.	
		Neither the FDA Office of Chief Counsel FDA nor the HHS OGC responded to FoodQuestTQ's offer to demonstrate their tools if FDA agreed to demonstrate their tools in return.	
Q-3	of th intel	he Office of the National Ombudsman for Small Business provide the HHS OGC a copy e briefing prepared by FoodQuestTQ that identified 25 specific examples of the lectual property that FoodQuestTQ alleges are being used by the FDA without hission?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-4	Q-4 Did the HHS Office of General Counsel legal opinion in this matter specifically address the extensive analysis of the alleged plagiarism of Dr. Hnatio's research that subsequently appeared in the FDA Food Protection Plan?		
		Yes	Page 16
		No	
Q-5	25 sp the F	he HHS Office of General Counsel legal opinion in this matter specifically address the pecific examples of the intellectual property that FoodQuestTQ alleges were stolen by food and Drug Administration that were provided to the National Ombudsman for I business?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-6	the o	he HHS Office of General Counsel legal opinion in this matter specifically address and letailed FoodQuestTQ technical "crosswalk" demonstrating the alleged FDA agement on the FoodQuestTQ patent?	
		Yes	
		No	
H cc O w	HS OG ompar GC to rithou	h to demonstrate based on the extensive record of documentation in this case that a case to ca	
Q-1		oodQuestTQ offer to demonstrate their tools to the OGC-HHS in return for a similar onstration of FDA's tools?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-2	Irrespective of the issue of alleged patent infringement, was FoodQuestTQ's request for the OGC-HHS to consider, as part of a negotiated non-disclosure agreement, the protection of the company's trade secrets and other intellectual property unreasonable?		
		Yes	Page 17
		No	
Q-3	beyo	it unreasonable for FoodQuestTQ to request that the OGC-HHS "investigation" go nd the issue of patent infringement to also consider the issue of direct government petition with their small business?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-4	4 Was it unreasonable for FoodQuestTQ to request that the OGC-HHS investigation include potential irregularities involving acquisition and procurement activities relating to the implementation of Federal Acquisition Regulations, the FAIR Act and OMB Circular A-76?		
		Yes	
		No	
Q-5	Ethic	the FDA adhere to Office of Management and Budget and Office of Government is Guidance regarding the utilization of private sector resources? The office of ernment Ethics guidance appears here for review.	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-6	Food	the HHS General Counsel concur with HHS counsel's opinion that the QuestTQ's concerns as specified in questions 3 through 5, above, are "minor enses" as stated in the OGC-HHS April 26, 2013, letter to FoodQuestTQ?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-7		ne HHS and FDA follow the Federal acquisition and procurement regulations in all of contracting activities?	
		Yes	
		No	Page 18
Q-8		he HHS and FDA make reasonable cost comparisons when deciding to build products ouse" when similar or better products are already commercially available?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-9		e FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grant Services (OAGS) responsible for overseeing the curement and acquisition activities of the Agency's Food Defense Team.	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-10	Offic Food	ase attach for review a copy of the cost determination judgment made by the FDA ce of Acquisitions and Grant Services (OAGS) used to justify the FDA decision to build Defense Plan Builder at taxpayer expense when a cheaper and better commercial mative was already available? If no written record exists please signify below.	
	_	The FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grant Services (OAGS) has no written record of a cost determination judgment.	
Q-10	just Hug Inte	ase attach a copy of the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grant Services (OAGS) cification made pursuant to government ethics statues before allowing Mr. George ghes, Senior Advisor, Counterterrorism & Intelligence to publicly appear in a Tyco egrated Systems produced marketing video on the role of food defense. If no record such approval exists please signify below.	
		The FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grant Services (OAGS) has no written record justifying the public FDA endorsement of Tyco Integrated Systems.	
C F	locun oodC	ries of questions are intended to demonstrate based on the extensive record of nentation in this whether the FDA has infringed on Dr. Hnatio's patent, used QuestTQ intellectual property and plagiarized the copyrighted research of Dr. Hnatio, nief Science Officer of FoodQuestTQ.	

in di w pr ti ba th	. The truthful responses to these questions are intended to demonstrate that the FDA including its contractors and subcontractors have plagiarized Dr. Hnatio's 2006 doctoral dissertation. In 2006, the George Washington University published a doctoral dissertation written by Dr. John Hnatio, Chief Science Officer of FoodQuestTQ based on a five year program of research he conducted beginning in 2001. The document was copyrighted at the time of its publication in 2006. The five years of research detailed in the dissertation was the basis for Dr. Hnatio's 2007 patent. Appendix A of the dissertation specifically addresses how the research can be applied to the safety of the food supply. To assist us, please answer the following questions.		
Q-1		e dissertation does Dr. Hnatio specifically address how his ideas and methods can be to address threats and risks across the food supply?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-2	threa	Dr. Hnatio's 2003-2006 dissertation research specifically address the use of the at and risk continuum of deterrence, detection, prevention, i.e., the FDA uses the titute term of "intervention", response and mitigation?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-3		Dr. Hnatio's dissertation research specifically address the indicators and warnings of rse events, i.e., FDA use the substitute term "signals"?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-4		Dr. Hnatio's dissertation research specifically address vulnerability and consequence easures of inherent risk, i.e., probability of occurrence?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-5	cour	Does Dr. Hnatio's dissertation specifically address the idea of organized step-by-step countermeasures to address system risks, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term "mitigating strategies"?		
		Yes	Page 20	
Q-6	exer	No Dr. Hnatio's dissertation specifically address the creation and use of simulated cises and scenarios to create multidisciplinary awareness of risks and risk termeasures through the use of structured exercises?	1050 20	
		Yes		
		No		
Q-7		Dr. Hnatio's dissertation specifically address the identification and prioritization of risk areas across all critical infrastructures including the food supply system?		
		Yes		
		No		
Q-8	and	Dr. Hnatio's dissertation address the gathering, deconstructing, and analyzing of past simulated events to determine the risk of their occurrence, lessons learned and to tify mitigating strategies?		
		Yes		
		No		
Q-9		Dr. Hnatio's dissertation address the types of information that should be collected analyzed in order to produce mitigating strategies for adverse events?		
		Yes		
	П	No		

Q-10	and	es Dr. Hnatio's dissertation address the types of information that should be collected analyzed in order to produce the actionable intelligence to intervene, i.e., the FDA is the substitute term of "signals"?	
		Yes	Page 21
		No	rage 21
Q-11	com	es Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation specifically address the need to consider all aplex systems including the food supply system in a holistic fashion, i.e., the FDA uses by substitute terms such as food lifecycle, field to fork, entire food supply chain?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-12	risks	es Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation specifically address the methods used to identify and their associated risk reduction measures, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term tigating strategies"?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-13		es Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation present a systems model that subsumes both ntional attacks and unintentional events?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-14		es Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation specifically address the need to continuously nitor the behavior of complex systems such as the food supply system?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-15	inve	s Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation specifically address how to make the best estments in to reduce risk and prevent incidents before they happen, i.e., the FDA is the substitute terms "intervention" and "risk mitigation strategies"?	
		Yes	Dago
		No	Page
Q-16	tech	es Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation specifically address the use of information inclogy methods including the development of operational computer software to lement a science and risk-based approach to managing risk?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-17	infr	s Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation specifically address the protection of all critical astructures, including the food supply system, as a science that relies on science and based methods?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-18	Doe	s Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation specifically address critical nodes?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-19		s Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation specifically address determining best response rnatives to system emergencies?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-20	auto	s Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation specifically address the use of computer omated software applications for developing operational software tools across critical astructures including the food and agricultural vertical?	
		Yes	
		No	

| 22

Q-21		the FDA cite Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation in the FDA's Food Protection Plan, the d Defense Mitigation Strategies Database, iRisk and FREE-B tools?	
		Yes	Page 23
		No	rage 25
Q-22	scie	s the FDA/HHS have any policies about copyrighting the substantive works of other ntific researchers in the absence of due diligence and without the author's mission?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-23		ise attach the HHS/FDA policy as it relates to government and it contractors or contractors copyrighting the substantial works of others without permission.	
Q-24	spec	ise attach a copy of that portion of the HHS OGC due diligence analysis that cifically addresses Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation. If the HHS OGC did not conduct be diligence analysis of Dr. Hnatio's dissertation please so signify below.	
		The HHS OGC did not conduct a due diligence examination of Dr. Hnatio's dissertation as part of their investigation of FoodQuestTQ's allegations in this matter.	
Q-25		se attach the HHS/FDA policies as they relate to plagiarism by the FDA or its tractors and subcontractors of another scientist's research.	
Q-26	or a	ise attach a copy of the HHS/FDA or contractor or subcontractor applications for any II copyrights for the FDA Food Protection Plan, the Food Defense Mitigation tegies Database, Food Defense Plan Builder, iRisk and FREE-B tools.	
Q-27		se attach a copy of the FDA policy document that justifies, authorizes and guides ernment and government copyright of work developed using public funds.	

	document with the Department of health and Human Services and the Food and Drug Administration that details 20 examples of FoodQuestTQ ideas that are being used in the FDA produced Food Protection Plan, Food Defense Plan Builder, iRisk, FREE-B and the Risk Mitigation Strategies Database. To assist us, please answer the following questions pertaining to the FDA Food Protection Plan.
Q-1	Are FoodQuestTQ ideas being used in the FDA produced Food Protection Plan?
	☐ Yes
	□ _{No}
Q-2	Does the FDA Food Protection Plan address the ideas of prevention and interdiction of food events, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term "intervention"?
	☐ Yes
	□ _{No}
Q-3	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Protection Plan. Please insert the date below.
	//20
Q-4	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-5	Does the FDA Food protection Plan address the idea of indicators and warnings of impending food events, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term "signals"?
	Yes
	□ _{No}
Q-6	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Protection Plan. Please insert the date below.
	//20

2. The truthful responses to these questions are intended to demonstrate that the FDA Food Protection Plan is substantially based on Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation, his patent and/or other FoodQuestTQ owned intellectual property. FoodQuestTQ has shared a

Page | 24

Q-7 Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.

Q-8	Does the FDA Food Protection Plan address the idea of determining the probability of a food event happening based on the vulnerability and consequences that would result from a successful attack?	
	☐ Yes	Page 25
	□ _{No}	30-1
	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Protection Plan. Please insert the date below.	
	//20	
Q-10	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-11	Does the FDA Food Protection Plan address the idea of implementing step-by-step countermeasure to address food risks, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term "mitigating strategies"?	
	Yes	
	□ _{No}	
Q-12	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Protection Plan? Please insert the date below.	
	//20	
Q-13	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-14	Does the FDA Food Protection Plan address the idea of prioritizing areas of importance along the food supply chain and in operating food facilities based on the probability of a food event happening, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term "high risk areas"?	
	☐ Yes	
	□ _{No}	
Q-15	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Protection Plan? Please insert the date below.	
	//20	
Q-16	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	

FoodQuestTQ LLC, 4720 Hayward Drive, Frederick, Maryland 21702 Telephone 240-439-4476 ext. 11

Q-17	Does the FDA Food Protection Plan address the idea of gathering, deconstructing and analyzing food incidents as a method to identify high risk agents?		
		Yes No	Page 26
Q-18		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part the Food Protection Plan? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/ 20	
Q-19	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-20	righ	es the FDA Food Protection Plan address the idea of how to collect and analyze the types of information in order to identify actionable intelligence to prevent food ety and food defense incidents?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-21		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA Food Protection Plan? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/20	
Q-22	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-23	holi	es the FDA Food Protection Plan address the idea of addressing the food supply as a stic system, i.e., the FDA uses substitute terms such as "entire supply chain", "from d to fork" and "complete food lifecycle."	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-24		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA Food Protection Plan? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/ 20	

FoodQuestTQ LLC, 4720 Hayward Drive, Frederick, Maryland 21702 Telephone 240-439-4476 ext. 11

Q-25 Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.

Q-26	countermeas	A Food Protection Plan address the idea of identifying specific sures to reduce the risks associated with specific food threats, i.e., the FDA stitute term "mitigation strategies"?	
	Yes		Page
	□ _{No}		
Q-27		de the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of different Plan? Please insert the date below.	
		_//20	
Q-28	Please provid	de the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-29		A Food Protection Plan address the idea of targeting risk countermeasures to pest results, i.e. the FDA uses the term "mitigation strategies"?	
	Yes		
	□ _{No}		
Q-30	•	de the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of different Plan? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/ 20	
Q-31	Please provid	de the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-32	information t	A Food Protection Plan address the idea of integrally tying the use of specific technology applications, i.e., computer software tools, to food industry equirements?	
	Yes		
	□ _{No}		
Q-33	Please provid	de the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of	

| 27

the FDA Food Protection Plan? Please insert the date below.

	// 20
Q-34	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-35	Does the FDA Food Protection Plan address the idea of using a systems model and treating food protection as a science that relies on risk-based quantitative methods for determining risk values?
	Yes
	□ _{No}
Q-36	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Protection Plan? Please insert the date below.
	// 20
Q-37	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-38	Does the FDA Food Protection Plan address the idea of combining advanced modeling, science based analysis and advanced information technology to produce operational industry software applications?
	Yes
	□ _{No}
Q-39	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Protection Plan? Please insert the date below.
	// 20
Q-40	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-41	Does the FDA Food Protection Plan address the idea of determining the best response alternatives to food related emergencies?
	Yes
Q-42	No Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of

the FDA Food Protection Plan? Please insert the date below.

__/__/20__

Q-43	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-44	Does the FDA Food Protection Plan address the idea of using automated software tools for developing science and risk-based solutions to operational risk management challenges faced by the food industry?
	☐ Yes
	□ _{No}
Q-45	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Protection Plan? Please insert the date below.
	// 20
Q-46	Please attach the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-47	Please attach a complete list of the names and contact information for consultants and contractor organizations used by the FDA to develop and write the Food Protection Plan for review.
Q-48	Please attach a complete listing of the specific names and contact information for all FDA, FDA contractor employees or consultants who have received awards for the development of the FDA Food Protection Plan.
De and to Foo pai do bei	e truthful responses to these questions are intended to demonstrate that the FDA Food fense Plan Builder is substantially based on Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation, his patent d/or other FoodQuestTQ owned intellectual property. The purpose of these questions is demonstrate based on the extensive record of documentation in this matter that the FDA od Defense Plan Builder is substantially based on Dr. Hnatio's doctoral dissertation, his tent and/or other FoodQuestTQ owned intellectual property. FoodQuestTQ has shared a cument with the HHS and the FDA that details 20 examples of FoodQuestTQ ideas that are ing used in the FDA produced Food Protection Plan, Food Defense Plan Builder, iRisk, EE-B and the Risk Mitigation Strategies Database. To assist us, please answer the lowing questions pertaining to the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder.
Q-1	Are FoodQuestTQ ideas being used in the FDA produced Food Defense Plan Builder?
	☐ Yes
	□ No

Q-2 Did members of the FDA Food Defense Team receive briefings from FoodQuestTQ describing their Food DefenseTQ (with TQ standing for threat quotient) and Food Defense Architect products?			
		Yes	Page 30
		No	rage 30
Q-3		the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder include broad areas of food defense interest mpanied by carefully organized risk mitigation questions?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-4		se provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of EDA Food Defense Plan Builder? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/ 20	
Q-5	Pleas	se provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-6	Does	the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder use Likert scales to quantify levels of risk?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-7		se provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of EDA Food Defense Plan Builder? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/ 20	
Q-8		s the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder use the taxonomy of identifying areas of risk and ting well organized sets of associated questions the answers to which identify security s"?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-9	Plea	se provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of	

the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder? Please insert the date below.

	// 20
Q-10	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-11	Does the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder identify risk reduction countermeasures, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term "mitigation strategies? Page 31
	☐ Yes
	□ No
Q-12	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder? Please insert the date below.
	// 20
Q-13	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-14	In applying Likert values to quantify risk does the FDA consider any elements of the threat continuum model of deterrence, detection, intervention, response and mitigation?
	☐ Yes
	□ No
Q-15	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder? Please insert the date below.
	// 20
Q-16	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-17	Does the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder integrally tie the use of information technology to the identification of risks, the quantification of risk levels and associated risk reduction countermeasures, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term "mitigations strategies"?
	☐ Yes
	□ No
Q-18	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of

the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder? Please insert the date below.

	/	/ 20	
Q-19	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-20		the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder tool be used by food companies to monitor their formance over time?	Page 32
		Yes	
		No	
Q-21		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA Food Defense Plan Builder? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/ 20	
Q-22	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-23	inve	s the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder help food companies target the best estments they can make to mitigate risk through the use of Likert scale risk intification?	
	Ц	Yes	
		No	
Q-24		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA Food Defense Plan Builder? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/ 20	
Q-25	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-26		es the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder integrally tie the use of information technology ood industry operational risk management challenges?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-27	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder? Please insert the date below.	
	// 20	
Q-28	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	Page 33
Q-29	Does the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder provide a vulnerability assessment based on the use of Likert scale quantification?	
	Yes	
	□ No	
Q-30	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder? Please insert the date below.	
	// 20	
Q-31	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-32	Were any non-public funds used to build Food Defense Plan Builder?	
	☐ Yes	
	□ No	
Q-33	If the answer is "No" to question 5 above, please provide us with the specific laws that were used by the FDA to justify a government copyright of a product produced at taxpayer expense?	
Q-34	Does the FDA decision to copyright automated tools produced at taxpayer expense indicate in any way the potential for the FDA to restrict their future use without government permission?	
	☐ Yes	
	□ No	
Q-35	Does the FDA intend, now or anytime in the future, to charge industry or the public any type of "user" or development fee for the right to use FDA copyrighted automated computer tools or other products?	
	Yes	

FoodQuestTQ LLC, 4720 Hayward Drive, Frederick, Maryland 21702 Telephone 240-439-4476 ext. 11

		No	
Q-36	too	the notion of charging "user" fees for the future use of FDA developed computer is or other services enter, in any way, into FDA's decision to copyright Food Defense Builder?	Page 34
		Yes	
		No	
Q-37	way	FDA's policy to charge the food industry "user fees" for FDA services influence, in any the HHS OGC decision to close the OGC-HHS "investigation" of FoodQuestTQ's cerns that FDA was competing with small business?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-38		s the FDA decision to copyright Food Defense Plan Builder concurred on by the FDA ef Counsel?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-39		o, please provide a copy of the above document signed or initialed by the Office of ef Counsel FDA.	
Q-40	Wa:	s the FDA decision to copyright Food Defense Plan Builder concurred on by the OGC 5?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-41	If so	o, please provide a copy of the above document signed or initialed by the OGC HHS.	
Q-42		the Director Office of Acquisitions and Grant Services (OAGS) concur on the FDA ision to copyright Food Defense Plan Builder?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-43		o, please provide a copy of the above document signed or initialed by the Office of uisitions and Grant Services.
Q-44		ase provide a complete list of the names and contact information for consultants and tractor organizations used by the FDA to develop Food Defense Plan Builder for ew.
Q-45		ase provide a copy of the FDA signed and dated contract with Valbrea Technolgies to elop Food Defense Plan Builder.
Q-46	FDA	ase provide a complete listing of the specific names and contact information for all a, FDA contractor employees or consultants who have received awards for the elopment of Food Defense Plan Builder.
o D u	f Foo efens s, ple	questTQ has shared a June 3, 2013, document with FDA/HHS that details 20 examples dQuestTQ ideas that are being used in the FDA produced Food Protection Plan, Food se Plan Builder, iRisk, FREE-B and the Risk Mitigation Strategies Database. To assist ase answer the following questions pertaining to the FDA Food Defense Mitigation gies Database.
Q-1		FoodQuestTQ ideas being used in the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies base?
		Yes
		No
Q-2	whic Food	nembers of the FDA Food Defense Team receive briefings from FoodQuestTQ in h the company shared their intellectual property describing the workings of their I DefenseTQ (with TQ standing for threat quotient) and Food Defense Architect food nse plan builder tools?
		Yes
		No
Q-3	elem	the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database use the threat continuum nents of prevention and interdiction, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term ervention", and communication and response?
		Yes

	□ _{No}
Q-4	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.
	// 20
Q-5	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-6	Does the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database use vulnerability and potential consequence in combination with Likert scales to quantify risk levels?
	Yes
	□ _{No}
Q-7	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.
	//20
Q-8	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
	Does the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database identify risk reduction countermeasures, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term "mitigation strategies"?
	Yes
	□ _{No}
Q-10	Please provide the specific date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.
	//20
Q-11	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-12	Does the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database use the taxonomy of identifying areas of risk and creating well organized sets of associated questions the answers to which identify security "gaps"?

		Yes	
		No	
Q-13		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.	Page 37
		// 20	
Q-14	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-15		es the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database identify "hot spots" in rating food facilities, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term high risk areas?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-16		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.	
		// 20	
Q-17	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-18		es the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database address areas of food ense interest accompanied by carefully organized risk mitigation questions?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-19		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.	
		// 20	
Q-20	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-21	ider	es the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database address the idea of ntifying specific countermeasures to reduce the risks associated with specific food eats, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term "mitigation strategies"?	

		Yes
		No
Q-22		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.
		// 20
Q-23	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-24	imp	es the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database address the idea of lementing step-by-step countermeasures to address food risks, i.e., the FDA uses the stitute term "mitigating strategies"?
		Yes
		No
Q-25		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.
		// 20
Q-26	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-27	coll	es the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database address the idea of how to ect and analyze the right types of information in order to identify actionable elligence to prevent food safety and food defense incidents?
		Yes
		No
Q-28		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.
		//20
∩-29	Plo	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review

Q-30	Does the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database address the idea of targeting risk countermeasures to achieve the best results, i.e. the FDA uses the term "mitigation strategies"?		
	Yes	Page 39	
	□ _{No}		
Q-31	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.		
	//20		
Q-32	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.		
Q-33	Does the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database integrally tie the use of specific information technology applications, i.e., computer software tools, to food industry operational requirements?		
	Yes		
	□ _{No}		
Q-34	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.		
	//20		
Q-35	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.		
Q-36	Is the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database a computer automated software tool for providing operational risk management solutions the food industry?		
	☐ Yes		
	□ No		
Q-37	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.		
	// 20		

Q-38 Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.

Q-39	Does the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database address the idea of "critical nodes"?		
	Yes		
	□ No	Page 40	
Q-40	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the date below.		
	//20		
Q-41	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review		
Q-42	Please provide a complete list of the names and contact information for consultants and contractor organizations used by the FDA to develop and write the Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database for review.		
Q-43	Please provide a complete listing of the specific names and contact information for all FDA, FDA contractor employees or consultants who have received awards for the development of the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database.		
Fo De us	boodQuestTQ has shared a document with FDA and HHA that details 20 examples of boodQuestTQ ideas that are being used in the FDA produced Food Protection Plan, Food efense Plan Builder, iRisk, FREE-B and the Risk Mitigation Strategies Database. To assist s, please answer the following questions pertaining to the FDA iRisk computer software bool.		
Q-1	Are FoodQuestTQ ideas being used in the FDA iRisk computer software tool?		
	☐ Yes		
	□ _{No}		
	Does the FDA iRisk computer software tool address the idea of determining the probability of a food event happening based on the vulnerability and consequences that would result from the event?		
	☐ Yes		
	□ _{No}		

Q-3		e provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of DA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/ 20	
Q-4	Pleas	e provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	Page 41
Q-5		the FDA iRisk computer software tool identify risk reduction countermeasures, i.e., DA uses the substitute term "mitigation strategies?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-6		e provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of DA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/ 20	
Q-7	Pleas	e provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-8	techr risk r	the FDA iRisk computer software tool integrally tie the use of information nology to the identification of risks, the quantification of risk levels and associated eduction countermeasures, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term "mitigations egies"?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-9		e provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of DA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/ 20	
Q-10	Plea	se provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-11	crea	s the FDA iRisk computer software tool use the taxonomy of taking areas of risk and ting well organized sets of associated questions the answers to which identify rity "gaps"?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-12	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.		
	// 20		
Q-13	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.		
Q-14	Does the FDA iRisk computer software tool integrally tie the use of information technology to food industry operational risk management challenges?		
	Yes		
	□ _{No}		
Q-15	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.		
	// 20		
Q-16	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.		
Q-17	Does the FDA iRisk computer software tool address the idea of how to collect and analyze the right types of information in order to identify actionable intelligence to prevent food incidents?		
	Yes		
	□ _{No}		
Q-18	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.		
	// 20		
Q-19	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.		
Q-20	Does the FDA iRisk computer software tool address the idea of prioritizing areas of importance along the food supply chain and in operating food facilities based on the probability of a food event happening, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term "high risk areas"?		
	☐ Yes		
	П		

Q-21	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.			
		// 20		
Q-22	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.		
Q-23		es the FDA iRisk computer software tool address the idea of gathering, deconstructing analyzing food incidents as a method to identify the probability of high risk events?		
		Yes		
		No		
Q-24		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.		
		// 20		
Q-25	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.		
Q-26		es the FDA iRisk computer software tool address the idea of identifying and pritizing high risk agents?		
		Yes		
		No		

Page | 43

Q-28 Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.

the FDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.

__/__/20__

Q-29 Does the FDA iRisk computer software tool address the idea of the food supply as a holistic system, i.e., the FDA uses substitute terms such as "entire supply chain", "from field to fork" and "complete food lifecycle."

Q-27 Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of

		Yes
		No
Q-30		se provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of EDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.
		//20
Q-31	Pleas	se provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-32	step	s the FDA iRisk computer software tool address the idea of implementing step-by-countermeasures to address food risks, i.e., the FDA uses the substitute term igating strategies"?
		Yes
		No
Q-33		se provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of EDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.
		//20
Q-34	Pleas	se provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-35	and t	s the FDA iRisk computer software tool address the idea of using a systems model treating food protection as a science that relies on risk-based quantitative methods etermining risk values?
		Yes
		No
Q-36		se provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of EDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.
	/	/ 20
Q-37	Pleas	se provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.
Q-38	coun	s the FDA iRisk computer software tool address the idea of targeting risk itermeasures to achieve the best results, i.e. the FDA uses the term "mitigation egies"?

		Yes	
		No	
Q-39		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.	Page 45
	/	/ 20	
Q-40	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-41	mod	es the FDA iRisk computer software tool address the idea of combining advanced deling, science based analysis and advanced information technology to produce rational industry software applications?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-42		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.	
		// 20	
Q-43	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-44		es the FDA iRisk computer software tool address the idea of determining the best conse alternatives to food related emergencies?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-45		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA iRisk computer software tool? Please insert the date below.	
	/	/20	
Q-46	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-47		ase provide a complete list of the names and contact information for consultants and tractor organizations used to develop the FDA iRisk computer software tool for	

review.

Q-48	Please provide a complete listing of the specific names and contact information for all FDA, FDA contractor employees or consultants who have received awards for the development of the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database.			
i E	FoodQuestTQ has shared a document with FDA and HHS that details 20 examples of their ideas that are being used in the FDA produced Food Protection Plan, Food Defense Plan Builder, iRisk, FREE-B and the Risk Mitigation Strategies Database. To assist us, please answer the following questions pertaining to the <u>FDA FREE-B tool</u> .			
Q-1	Are FoodQuestTQ ideas being used in the FDA FREE-B tool?			
	☐ Yes			
	□ _{No}			
Q-2	Does the FDA FREE-B tool use simulated events, i.e., scenarios?			
	☐ Yes			
	□ _{No}			
Q-3	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA FREE-B tool? Please insert the date below.			
	// 20			
Q-4	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.			
Q-5	Does the FDA FREE-B tool bring select groups of decision makers and technical personnel who would be involved in managing an event in the real world together to manage hypothetical simulations, i.e., scenarios, of complex events?			
	☐ Yes			
	□ _{No}			
Q-6	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA FREE-B tool? Please insert the date below.			
	//20			

Q-7 Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.

Q-8	-8 Are participants in FREE-B exercises provided with the opportunity to systematically consider and plan in advance for complex contingencies?			
		Yes		
		No		
Q-9		se provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA FREE-B tool? Please insert the date below.		
		//20		
Q-10	Ple	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.		
Q-11		subject matter experts and decision makers, cut across both the horizontal and tical boundaries of organizations participate in FREE-B exercises?		
		Yes		
		No		
Q-12		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA FREE-B tool? Please insert the date below.		
		//20		
Q-13	Ple	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.		
Q-14		one of the important objectives of FREE-B exercises to encourage shared situational areness from the policy to the operational level.		
		Yes		
		No		
Q-15		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA FREE-B tool? Please insert the date below.		
		//20		
Q-16	Ple	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.		
Q-17	Do	es FREE-B use science-based simulations, i.e., of scenarios of hypothetical events and		

Page | 47

FoodQuestTQ LLC, 4720 Hayward Drive, Frederick, Maryland 21702 Telephone 240-439-4476 ext. 11

situations?

		Yes	
		No	
Q-18		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA FREE-B tool? Please insert the date below.	Page 48
		//20	
Q-19	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-20		aspects of these simulations, i.e., scenarios, based on real world events that have n deconstructed to use as part of exercises?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-21		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA FREE-B tool? Please insert the date below.	
		// 20	
Q-22	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-23	thin	FREE-B simulated exercises, i.e., scenarios, reflect the <i>a-priori</i> (i.e., before the fact) king of the multidisciplinary experts who develop and reverse engineer scenarios that used for FREE-B exercises?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-24		ase provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of FDA FREE-B tool? Please insert the date below.	
		// 20	
Q-25	Plea	ase provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-26		participants in FREE-B exercises identify the decisions they would make, identify the	

simulations, i.e., scenarios?

	Yes	
	□ _{No}	
Q-27	Please provide the date that the above idea was first reduced to written form as part of the FDA FREE-B tool? Please insert the date below.	Page 49
	// 20	
Q-28	Please provide the relevant portion of the above FDA dated document for review.	
Q-29	Please attach a complete list of the names and contact information for consultants and contractor organizations used to develop the FDA FREE-B tool for review.	
Q-30	Please attach a complete listing of the specific names and contact information for all FDA, FDA contractor employees or consultants who have received awards for the development of the FDA FREE-B tool.	
do th	e purpose of this series of questions is to demonstrate based on the extensive record of cumentation in the case of FoodQuestTQ versus the Food and Drug Administration that e FDA has retaliated against FoodQuestTQ for resisting the government's actions in this atter.	
1.	Truthful responses to these questions will show based on the extensive record of documentation in this case that the FDA action to preclude FoodQuestTQ from participating in the FDA sponsored industry workshop at GMA Headquarters was to prevent FoodQuestTQ from demonstrating their competing commercial product and that this was motivated by FDA's desire to avoid commercial competition. To assist us, please answer the following questions.	
Q-1	Was FoodQuestTQ prohibited by the FDA from attending the workshop?	
	Yes	
	□ _{No}	
	Did the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grant Services (OAGS) approve Mr. Menikheim's decision to preclude FoodQuestTQ's participation in the FDA sponsored workshop?	
	Yes	
	□ _{No}	

Q-3		he Office of Acquisitions and Grant Services (OAGS) concur that FoodQuestTQ should xcluded from attending the workshop?	
		Yes	
		No	Page 50
Q-4	cond	se attach for review a copy of the Office of Acquisitions and Grant Services (OAGS) currence to exclude FoodQuestTQ from the FDA workshop. If no one conferred with S regarding the matter please so signify below.	
		No one conferred with the Office of Acquisitions and Grant Services (OAGS) to seek concurrence on the matter.	
Q-5	Food	se have Mr. Menikheim answer the following question for the record. Did you offer dQuestTQ the opportunity to participate in the workshop if they agreed not to onstrate their own food defense plan builder tool?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-6		the FDA aware that FoodQuestTQ products are used to build food defense plans prior ne December 2012 workshop?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-7	the I	ide the date that the FDA first learned that FoodQuestTQ would be participating in December 2012 FDA sponsored workshop to brief industry on their food defense ning tool? Please insert the date below.	
		// 2012	
Q-8	that	se provide the date that FDA first informed the Grocery Manufacture's Association FoodQuestTQ was prohibited from participating in the December 2012 FDA assored workshop. Please insert the date below.	
		// 2012	
Q-9	Wer	e only food manufacturers and processors allowed to attend the workshop?	
		Yes	

□ _{No}
Q-10 Did Mr. George Hughes, Senior Advisor, Counterterrorism & Intelligence, FDA Office of Criminal Investigations appear in the Tyco Integrated Systems food defense promotiona before or after the FDA sponsored workshop held at GMA Headquarters in December 2012?
☐ Before
☐ After
Q-11 Please insert the date that FDA participated in Tyco Integrated Systems food defense promotional below.
//20
Q-12 Please provide a complete list of the names and affiliations of <u>all</u> participants in the December 2012 FDA workshop held at the Grocery Manufacturer's Association.
2. The purpose of these questions is to demonstrate based on the extensive record of documentation in this matter that the FDA action to preclude FoodQuestTQ from participating in the FDA industry workshop held at GMA Headquarters in December 2012 was in retaliation for the publication of a FoodQuestTQ article describing weaknesses in FDA's approach to vulnerability assessment and food defense. The article was published by FoodQuestTQ on December 6, 2012, and related to a food vulnerability assessment tool being used and further developed by the FDA called C.A.R.V.E.R. plus Shock. To assist us, please answer the following questions.
Q-1 Is the FDA familiar with the term "baseline threat?"
Yes
□ _{No}
Q-2 Does the FDA have any official baseline threat against which the U.S. food supply must be protected? If so, please attach a redacted unclassified copy of the FDA's official baseline threat.
☐ Yes

Page	52
------	----

Q-3	Has the FDA officially defined the rules of engagement when confronted with a terrorist attack against the food supply? If so, please attach a redacted unclassified copy of the Agency's official policy.
	Yes
	□ _{No}
Q-4	How much money has been obligated by the FDA on C.A.RV.E.R. Plus Shock from the beginning of FY 2012 to the current time? Please insert the dollar amount below.
	\$,00
Q-5	Did the publication of the FoodQuestTQ article raise concerns within the FDA?
	Yes
	□ _{No}
Q-6	Please have Ms. Leanne Jackson of the FDA Food Defense Team answer the following question for the record. How many times did Ms. Jackson open and/or send via e-mail the December 6, 2012, FoodQuestTQ article to anyone else in the FDA or the food industry? Please insert the <u>total</u> number below.
	The December 6, 2012, FoodQuestTQ article was opened and/or sent to others by Ms. Leanne Jackson, FDA, times.
Q-7	Please attach a list of all of the names, affiliations and contact information for each of the individuals Ms. Jackson contacted with respect to the December 6, 2012, FoodQuestTQ article.
Q-8	Please attach all copies of all correspondence including letters, notes and e-mails that Ms. Jackson sent or received from others in the FDA, industry or from any other source related in any way to the FoodQuestTQ article of December 6, 2012, for review.
Co	Ve are interested in the FDA public appearance of Mr. George Hughes, Senior Advisor, bunterterrorism & Intelligence, FDA Office of Criminal Investigations, in a Tyco Integrated vistems food defense promotional. To assist us, please answer the following questions.

Q-1	Does	Tyco Integrated Systems produce software used by the food industry?	
		Yes	
		No	Page 53
Q-2	Is Ty	co Integrated Systems a competitor of FoodQuestTQ LLC?	. 486 66
		Yes	
		No	
Q-3	Is Ty	co Integrated Systems a food processor or manufacturer?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-4		Tyco Integrated Systems allowed to attend the FDA sponsored workshop held at the ery Manufacturer's Association in December 2012?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-5		has Tyco Integrated Systems ever been a contractor to the Food and Drug inistration?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-6		has Tyco Integrated Systems ever been a contractor or subcontractor to Battelle norial Institute under any FDA sponsored contract?	
		Yes	
		No	

4. We are interested in determining what the involvement of Leavitt Partners (now known as the Acheson Group) was in the matter of FoodQuestTQ. To assist us, please answer the following questions.

Q-1	Is Le	avitt Partners a food processor or manufacturer?	
		Yes	
		No	Page 54
Q-2		Leavitt Partners allowed to attend the FDA sponsored workshop held at the Grocery ufacturer's Association in December 2012?	Page 54
		Yes	
		No	
Q-3		se provide a comprehensive list of all private sector companies involved in any aspect od safety and food defense that have received public endorsements by the FDA.	
Q-4		has Leavitt Partners or the Acheson Group ever been a contractor to the Food and Administration?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-5		has Leavitt Partners or the Acheson Group ever been a contractor or subcontractor to elle Memorial Institute under any FDA sponsored contract?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-6		Dr. Jennifer McEntire under contract to the FDA or any FDA subcontractor involved in development of Food Defense Plan Builder?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-6		Dr. Jennifer McEntire under contract to the FDA or any FDA subcontractor involved in development of the FREE-B tool?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-7		s Dr. Jennifer McEntire under contract to the FDA or any FDA subcontractor involved in development of the Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database tool?	
		Yes	
		No	Page 55
Q-8	dur	Dr. Jennifer McEntire provide the FDA with FoodQuestTQ proprietary informationing the development of the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder, the FREE-B tools and/or Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database?	
		Yes	
		No	
		Do not know	
Q-9	emp	5 Dr. David Acheson ever an employee of the FDA? If so, please provide the dates of ployment and the positions he occupied. If so, also please include the specific dates the may have served as the interim Director of CFSAN.	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-10		s Dr. Acheson or other employees of the Acheson Group been or currently are under ntract to the FDA or any FDA subcontractor.	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-1:	ris	so, does the nature of the work involve food policy, safety, food defense and/or food sk management activities. If "yes", please specify the activities and the nature of the ontract.	
		Yes	
		No	

eı	nploy	rpose of these questions is to demonstrate that the FDA "blacklisted" and/or FDA rees engaged in defamation involving FoodQuestTQ. To assist us, please answer the ng questions.	
Q-1		any employee of the FDA discussed with anyone in private industry any aspect of the ute between the FDA and FoodQuestTQ?	Page 56
		Yes	
		No	
Q-2		any employee of HHS discussed with anyone in private industry any aspect of the ute between the FDA and FoodQuestTQ?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-3		any FDA/HHS employee discuss any aspect of the FoodQuestTQ matter with Drs. d Acheson or Jennifer McIntyre of Leavitt Partners (now known as the Acheson up)?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-4		e FDA aware that Dr. Acheson terminated his business relationship with FoodQuestTQ ediately after he was interviewed by HHS and FDA officials.	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-5	who	se attach a list of the names and contact information for all HHS and FDA employees held discussions, traded e-mails or had other communications with industry rding the dispute between the FDA and FoodQuestTQ?	
Q-6	com	se provide copies of any notes, memos, letters or other correspondence/munications, including e-mails, with industry or other government agencies regarding FoodQuestTQ matter.	
D. 1	The pu	urpose of these questions is to demonstrate the role played by the FDA Office of	

Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) in the FoodQuestTQ matter. To assist us, please

answer the following questions.

Q-1		ederal procurement and acquisition rules require that the FDA and HHS consider the equences of going into competition with small businesses?	
		Yes	Page 57
		No	
Q-2		ederal procurement and acquisition rules require FDA cost comparisons based on the ability of the same or similar products from industry?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-3	prod Miti	the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) FDA aware that similar lucts to the FDA produced Food Defense Plan Builder, iRisk, FREE-B and the Risk gation Strategies Database were already developed and being commercially sold by I businesses including FoodQuestTQ?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-4	2013 Activ Man	s the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) concur with the April 26, 8, HHS OGC legal opinion stating that there is no relationship between the Federal vities Inventory (FAIR) Act [P.L. 105-270], the implementing provisions of Office of agement and Budget (OMB) Circular A-76, and the Federal Acquisition Regulations) and the FoodQuestTQ matter?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-5	Man	the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) adhere to the Office of agement and Budget (OMB) and office of Government Ethics (OGE) rules and elines with respect to the utilization of services from the private sector?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-6		s the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) consider the elopment of food risk management software an inherently government function?	
		Yes	
		No	Page 58
Q-7	requ	he FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) conduct the due diligence ired under OMB and OGE rules and guidance in their contract dealings with Battelle norial Institute?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-8	the I	nducting The Agency's due diligence of the Battelle Memorial Institute contract did FDA determine that the capability to build computer automated food risk agement tools was widely available from the private sector at dramatically reduced to the taxpayer?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-9		se attach a copy of the dated, signed and/or initialed FDA due diligence determination eview.	
Q-10	offi ove Foo	ase attach a list of the names and full contact information for the FDA's contract cer (CO) and his/her contracting officer's technical representative (COTR) who rsee the FDA's contract dealings with Battelle Memorial Institute, the Institute for d Technologists, Leavitt Partners (now known as the Acheson Group), Tyco Integrated tems, the Institute for Food Technologists and Vabrea Technologies.	
Q-11	con	the FAIR Act and OMB Circular A-76 call for the conduct of realistic and fair cost apparisons before a federal agency makes a determination to build non-inherently ernmental products in house?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-12	Plea	ase attach the FDA policies and procedures that are used to assure that realistic and	

fair cost determinations are being made by HHS/FDA prior to the procurement of non-

fair cost determinations in cases like the FoodQuestTQ matter. Q-13 Does the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) currently procure computer development and support work from small businesses? Yes Q-14 Does the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) have mechanisms to conduct "sole source" procurements when a small company owns intellectual property that the Agency considers essential to its mission? Yes Q-15 Did the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) HHS/FDA ever request a "sole source" proposal from FoodQuestTQ to use their intellectual property in furtherance of the FDA's critical food safety, defense and risk management mission? Yes Q-16 Does the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) agree with the guiding principles of the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FARs), the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of Government Ethics to use commercial products and services whenever possible to save and maximize the use of taxpayer dollars? Yes Q-17 Does the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) maintain awareness of the capabilities of the commercial marketplace to save and maximize the use of taxpayer dollars? Yes

inherently governmental products including determination for the in house development of computer software. Please highlight the pertinent provisions requiring realistic and

Page | 59

No

Q-18	Does the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) conduct the people's business with integrity, fairness, and openness?	
	☐ Yes	
	□ No	Page 60
Q-19	Does the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) believe that maintaining the public's trust is an essential consideration in every aspect of the FARs system?	
	Yes	
	□ No	
Q-20	Please attach a copy of the dated, signed/initialed FDA contract modification authorizing Battelle Memorial Institute and other contractors to build, maintain and modify the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder tool, the Mitigation Strategies Database, iRisk and FREE-B.	
Q-21	Did the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) approve the "pass through" contract to Vabrea Technologies to develop Food Defense Plan Builder?	
	Yes	
	□ No	
Q-22	Please attach HHS/FDA policies with respect to the use of "pass through" contracts to sidestep open and fair competition for government services.	
Q-23	Please attach the FDA Office of Acquisitions and Grants Services (OAGS) concurrence copy of the Vabrea contract/subcontract.	
Q-24	Please provide the <u>total</u> amount of taxpayer dollars expended to build and maintain to the current time the FDA Food Defense Plan Builder? Please insert the total amount below.	
	\$,	
Q-25	Please provide the <u>total</u> amount of taxpayer dollars expended to build and maintain to the current time the FDA Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database? Please insert the total amount below.	
	\$,	

Q-26		ase provide the <u>total</u> amount of taxpayer dollars expended to build and maintain to current time the iRisk? Please insert the total amount below.	
	Ç	5,00	
Q-27		ase provide the <u>total</u> amount of taxpayer dollars expended to build and maintain to current time the FREE-B tool? Please insert the total amount below.	Page
	ç	5,00	
C	Office	urpose of these questions is to demonstrate the role played by the FDA and HHS is of Small Business and Disadvantaged Utilization (OSBDU) in the FoodQuestTQ r. To assist us, please answer the following questions.	
Q-1		e FDA OSBDU mission to make special efforts to assure maximum participation by II and disadvantaged businesses in the procurement of materials and services by the ?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-2		the FDA Food Defense Team consult with the FDA OSBDU before they decided to build Defense Plan Builder?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-3		the FDA Food Defense Team consult with the FDA OSBDU before they decided to build Food Defense Mitigation Strategies Database?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-4		the FDA Food Defense Team and/or other FDA operational units consult with the FDA DU before they decided to build the iRisk computer software tool?	
		Yes	
		No	

| 61

		ne FDA Food Defense Team and/or other FDA operational units consult with the FDA DU before they decided to build the FDA FREE-B tool?	
		Yes	
		No	Page 62
		the FDA OSBDU contacted by the OGC HHS during their investigation of the QuestTQ matter?	
		Yes	
		No	
		the FDA OSBDU asked by OGC HHS to concur on their decision to close the QuestTQ matter?	
		ne FDA OSBDU, in fact, concur on the April 26, 2013, OGC HHS letter to QuestTQ?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-9	If so,	please provide us with a dated, signed or initialed FDA OSBDU concurrence copy.	
Q-10		en did the FDA OSBDU first become aware of the dispute between FDA and dQuestTQ? Please insert the date below.	
		//20	
Q-11	Did	the FDA OSBDU reach out to FoodQuestTQ?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-12	If so	, please insert the date the FDA OSBDU contacted FoodQuestTQ below.	
		// 20	

Q-13 If so, please attach a copy of the FDA OSBDU correspondence sent to FoodQuestTQ.

Q-14		s the HHS OSBDU contacted by the OGC HHS during their investigation of the dQuestTQ matter?	
		Yes	Page 63
		No	. 486 1 66
Q-15		s the HHS OSBDU asked by OGC HHS to concur on their decision to close the dQuestTQ matter?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-16		the HHS OSBDU, in fact, concur on the April 26, 2013, OGC HHS letter to dQuestTQ?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-17	If sc	o, please provide us with a dated, signed or initialed HHS OSBDU concurrence copy.	
Q-18		en did the HHS OSBDU first become aware of the dispute between FDA and dQuestTQ? Please insert the date below.	
		//20	
Q-19	Did	the HHS OSBDU reach out to FoodQuestTQ?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-20	If so	o, please insert the date the HHS OSBDU contacted FoodQuestTQ below.	
		//20	

Q-21 If so, please attach a copy of the HHS OSBDU correspondence sent to FoodQuestTQ.

(Ombu	dsman for Small Business of the Small Business Administration in the FDA'HHS actions FoodQuestTQ matter. To assist us, please answer the following questions.	
Q-1	Did the OGC HHS ever confer with the Office of the National Ombudsman for Small Business on the propriety of the federal government competing directly with small businesses like FoodQuestTQ on procurement activities that do not involve inherently governmental functions?		Page 64
		Yes	
		No	
Q-2	betv Food part Office	se attach a list that details by date, person and subject matter all interactions ween HHS, FDA and other government officials relating in any way to the dQuestTQ matter including all correspondence, e-mails and telephone calls. We are icularly interested in discussions/interactions at the senior levels of the HHS, FDA, the ce of Government Ethics, the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the onal Ombudsman for Small Business.	
Q-3		OGC HHS seek the concurrence of the Office of the National Ombudsman for Small ness on their April 26, 2013, letter to FoodQuestTQ?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-4	the I	please attach for review a dated, signed or initialed copy showing the concurrence of National Ombudsman for Small Business on the OGC HHS decision to close the stigation of the FoodQuestTQ matter.	
I	FoodC	rpose of these questions is to demonstrate that the FDA has unfairly competed with QuestTQ. We are particularly interested in HHS and FDA justifications for competing y with small business using the FoodQuestTQ matter as a case study.	
Q-1		s the FDA believe that government competition with small business is in the national rest?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-2	Do small businesses like FoodQuestTQ produce approximately 67 percent of the net new jobs created by our economy every year?		
		Yes	
		No	Page 65
Q-3		mall businesses like FoodQuestTQ make up approximately 99.7 percent of U.S. loyer firms?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-4	such	arue that small businesses employ approximately 39 percent of high-tech workers as scientists; engineers; and information technology workers that generate the prity of innovations that come from U.S. companies?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-5		rue that small businesses produce 13 to 14 times more patents per employee than do e firms?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-6		rue that small businesses' patents are twice as likely as those from the larger firms to mong the 1 percent most cited (that is; the most significant)?	
		Yes	
		No	
Q-7		HS/FDA agree that small businesses in America play a crucial role in creating the new necessary to drive down unemployment rates?	
		Yes	
		No	

Q-8	HS/FDA agree that small businesses in America play a crucial role in keeping the on at the cutting edge of technology innovation?	
	Yes	
	No	Page 66
Q-9	se attach for review the HHS-FDA policy that allows the Agency to directly compete small food risk management businesses such as FoodQuestTQ.	
	Yes	
	No	