	ARMED SERVICES BOARD OF CONTRACT APPEALS
1	AKMED SEKVICES BOARD OF CONTRIOL MELDING
2	COPY
3	APPEAL OF
4	WESLEYAN COMPANY, INC. ASBCA NO.:
5	Under Contract Nos.: 53896
6 7	DAAK 6084M116, DAAK 6084M3573, DAAK 6085M3337
8	DAAK 6085m2329
9	
10	Thursday, December 21, 2006
11	Arlington, Virginia
12	Telephone deposition of:
13	WESLEY SCHNEIDER
14	called for examination by counsel for the U.S. Army,
15	pursuant to Notice, taken at the Army Litigation
16	Center, Contract Appeals Division, 901 N. Stuart
17	Street, Suite 500, Arlington, Virginia 22203
18	beginning at 1:57 p.m., before Lu Anne Dawson, Notary
19	Public in and for the Commonwealth of Virginia, when
20	were present on behalf of the respective parties:
21	
22	

Dual Hose + Dural Valve & 192 Knock offe

and they ensured that that wasn't going to be the

case.

This is after I went to see Mr. Scully in February of '87, and that's the reason why I was really angry about it, and it came to pass that the Army was going to make their own because this is when I, in the FOIA, I learned that there was a test and evaluation strategy meeting in June of '87 where Mr. Snow had been demonstrating inside the meeting there a crude prototype, according to the test minutes of that meeting, of a competitive, in-house design that was being placed to knock off my item and because it was a -- this was not a dual valve system. This was a dual hose -- I have it the other way around.

The ILC effort was a dual hose system, and the Natick effort in '87 was a dual valve system. Both were offspring of my original proposals and research that I had done.

- Q. Mr. --
- A. And --
- Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.