

(X) 1990 - Army fails w/ MDS-1 system in gas results below  
plan. It learns Army never tested its NBC canteens or  
fielded canteens - but fails w/ MDS-1.

CSPS  
VCHS

MPY 1990

Natick  
F/F  
manager

Technical test  
not  
F/F failed to  
due to M/F  
purposely

B.

Message # 5 : 8147 DATES/  
Date: Wed, 23 May 90 8:09:18 EDT  
From: donald davis  
To: mchnin@natick

1000 <ddavio@natick-emh1.army.mil>

----- Forwarded message -----

Date: Thu, 17 May 90 13:23:53 EDT  
From: donald davis 1000 <ddavio@natick-emh1.army.mil>  
To: tmitche@crdec4.apgea.army.mil, wkdavis@crdec8.apgea.army.mil,  
rslindsa@crdec4.apgea.army.mil  
Cc: cwilliam@natick, rranache@natick, igardelli@natick,  
amstetaq@apo-emh1.apo.army.mil, amstetat@apo-emh1.apo.army.mil,  
cejennin@crdec1.apgea.army.mil, omcie@belvoir-emh9.army.mil,  
rryczak@ashai.apgea.army.mil, ddavio@natick  
Subject: ~~MDS-1 Technical Test Status/Revision~~

Due to the series of events which have transpired during the chemical agent testing of the MDS-1 at CRDEC, it has become necessary to rethink the objectives of the testing being conducted.

Army  
Re thinks  
objectives  
of testing -  
F/F testing -  
using of course -  
F/F funds for  
their own  
objectives  
(illegal?)  
(funds?)

Testing commenced the week 30 Apr 90 and immediately an off-gassing sulfur compound (curing agent) from the rubber components of the MDS-1 was determined to be causing a false positive reading from the MINICAMS agent detection monitoring equipment. CRDEC test personnel were successful in "tuning out" the false sulfur signal being seen by the MINICAMS. Testing was "re-started" on 07 May 90.

Day 1 testing involved HD vapor exposure of five MDS-1 (without bvtv sleeve/cap) for a period of six hours with continued monitoring to 24 hours. Air flow rate through the MDS-1, for MINICAMS monitoring purpose, was 1.0 liter/minute (l/m). The MINICAMS detected small amounts (5-10 nanograms (ng)) of agent penetration immediately for each of the five MDS-1 being tested. This penetration remained consistent and steady for the six hours HD vapor was introduced into the test environment. Once the HD vapor was stopped, agent detection values began to drop until becoming negligible, holding steady through the remainder of the monitoring period (cumulative amounts averaged approximately 2.0 micrograms ( $\mu$ g)).

After some discussion, it was surmised the MI/mask drink tube (MI/MDT) connections on both ends of the MDS-1 were responsible for the immediate detection of agent in all five MDS-1. Investigation into whether the MI NBC canteen cap, when initially designed, was tested for resistance to vapor penetration when mated with the mask drink tube turned up nothing. Day 3 of testing saw this HD vapor scenario repeated, again using five MDS-1. With similar results seen with regard to initial agent detection, the point at which the penetrating agent begins to decrease and the reduction to negligible amounts after the vapor is stopped. However, the average cumulative amount of agent

(X)  
Army finds  
its fielded  
mask/canteen  
cap connection  
is untested!

*CPA 5  
VPL 5  
T* 1990 - Army failed W88/Syvar systems in 905 Test. Decide p2072 to ~~reassessing~~ "determine as much information about the system as it is possible"

B1

increased to approximately 6.8  $\mu$ m. The increase in cumulative agent penetration from Day 1 to Day 3 is thought to be caused by the orientation of the M1/mask drink tube connection, as previously mentioned.

Day 2 testing involved exposing three MDS-I (again, no bivalve sleeve/cap) to HD in the form of liquid droplets, corresponding to 2

10.0 g/m<sup>2</sup> based on the approximated surface area of the MDS-I. Again, air flow through the MDS-I was 1.0 l/m and monitoring was performed for 24 hours. Although initially lower than the values seen in the vapor test, agent penetration amounts and behavior during the first six hours of liquid HD testing were similar the vapor tests. Based on the evaporative effects of the HD droplets, which were placed on the tubing and bulb connectors only, agent penetration began decreasing after the 6-7 hour time frame. However, at approximately the 10-11 hour time frame, agent penetration increased substantially and continued to the end of the 24 hour monitoring period. Cumulative agent penetration of the three MDS-I were 32.4, 32.6 and 32.8  $\mu$ m.

*planned for  
Assess  
TSF  
FF would  
fairly  
cross-contamin  
not altered*

Due to the fact that HD penetration of the MDS-I during liquid agent testing was over eight times greater than allowable skin contamination levels, it was assumed ECOM/PMM/Natick recommendations for procurement of this modified ND1 MDS-I prototype would ultimately be unfavorable. Therefore, it was decided that the remainder of the technical testing should be revised to determine as much information about the system as is possible. It is recommended that the following test iterations replace the original test plan.

#### HD LIQUID TESTING

Test Conditions	Reason
-----------------	--------

##### Orientation; Flow : Agent Applied

- |                                   |                               |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1. No Siv/Cap; 1 l/m; Tubing/Conn | Determine original site       |
| 2. No Siv/Cap; 1 l/m; Tubing      | Determine deficient component |
| 3. No Siv/Cap; 0 l/m; Tubing      | Verify M1/MDI vapor effect    |
| 4. No siv/Cap; 1 l/m; Conn        | Verify deficient component    |
| 5. No Siv/Cap; 1 l/m; Bulb        | Determine deficient component |
| 6. Sleeve/Cap; 0 l/m; Sleeve      | Test operational mode         |

*of TSF to  
determine as much  
information about the  
system as is possible!*

\* l/m denotes no forced air flow through the MDS-I. Sampling of the air in the MDS-I will be performed using the MINICAMS sampling system

All liquid HD test variations will be performed on a total of six MDS-I.